C. F. 71 & 72—656,414—21-11-29

Leen Ry C

C.F. 71 & 72.

1933

Department of Excise

OFFICE Of the Commissioner

STATION Madras

R No. 655. Misc

Dated 11-4-33

To whom sent		Date	Initial
	Given for copying	12/14.4	
	Copied	12/14.4	
	Examined		
	Signed		
	Given for despatch	14/4	
	Despatched		
	Indexed		
Pages in the file	To be destroyed on*		

(SUBJECT.)

Excels - Mangalore & Udipi redistribution - working & the arrangement - orders.

* Not to be filled in in the case of permanent records.

C.F. 16—24,90,350—30-10-30. (6)

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL

Enclosures.

Despatch No.

When given to fair copying K S 15/12.

Fair-copied by 14/12.

Fair-copy compared by

When despatched

Office.

Register No. dated

From

Subject.

Circles - redistribution
S. Kanara Dt - Working

To

THE C.P.D. S-35 of 32
D/Co. 3. 32

(2)/4

Ref.

The attention of DCSD is invited to para 2 of CPD No S-35 of D/Co. 3. 32 & he is requested to submit the report called for therein at an early date

Dtd. 3.12
14

To the D.C.S.D

RG
14/3

TRR
14/12/32

DESPATCHED
JAN 1933

C.F. 32

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.*

E X C I S E DEPARTMENT.



Received..... *Registered*.....

From (Name) C. B. Beadnell Esquire I.C.S.,

(Designation) Deputy Commissioner of Excise,

Post Box....., (Station) Southern Division, Coimbatore.

Telegraphic Address.....

To The Commissioner of Excise,

Chepauk, M a d r a s.

R.C. *No.* 197/33, *dated* 17th March 192/ 33.

Sir,

Enclosures.....

.....

.....

Spare Copies.....

* Circles - redistribution - South Kanara District working - -plcy

Ref:- Commissioner's Ref. dated 14-3-33 about the report called for in C.P.D.No.535 Abk. dated 10-3-32. ---- p4 (S)

The report of the Assistant Commissioner West Coast Sub Division on the subject was received in my office on 11-3-33 and further particulars have been called for from him in my reference dated 14-3-33. The report called for by the Commissioner will be submitted as soon as the Assistant Commissioner's reply is received.

A Raghava Chari
9.3.33
For Deputy Commissioner.

5

Submitted for perusal.
21.3.33.

MK/19-3. Lulle 9/3. RL 21.3. TM 21.3.33

C.F. 32

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.*

3

E x c i s e DEPARTMENT.

Received ..... *Registered* 3-APR 33

From (Name) C. B. Beadnell Esquire I.S.O.,

(Designation) Deputy Commissioner of Excise,

Post Box ....., (STATION) Southern Division, Coimbatore.

Telegraphic Address .....

To The Commissioner of Excise,

Chepauk, M a d r a s.

R.C. *No.* 117/33, *dated* 1st April 192/ 33.

Sir,

ENCLOSURES ABKARI 1426

SPARE COPIES

* Establishment - Asst. Inspector - Udipi circle - transfer to Cannanore circle - proposal -

Ref:- Commissioner's D.No.535 Abk/32 dated 10-3-32 & Memo No. nil dated 14-3-33.

The additional of Karkal taluk to Udipi circle has made the circle unwieldy. The longest - distance from one end of the circle to the other is about 90 miles. Surprise visits to the ranges in Coondapur taluk are necessary as they border Mysore State and Bombay - Presidency. The Inspector Udipi circle reports that the existing crime in Karkal taluk where I.D. is rife cannot be properly handled by the superior officers as the Moodabidri and Venoor ranges of the above taluk are within easy readh of Mangalore circle and that it would certainly be more - convenient for the officers of that circle both to obta . information about crime and also to detect offences sucdess-fully. There are several villages in Moodabidri and Venoor ranges which require a large force for the successful - detection of I.D. crime during the cashew season. As there are regular and reliable Bus services connecting these ranges with Mangalore directly and the distances also are not much, the Inspector Mangalore circle can summon the assistance of all ranges in his circle at very short notice and success-fully carry out a combined raid on any village in the 2 - ranges. The Inspector, Udipi circle, on the other hand handicapped by the long distance which separate th[redacted] centres from the other ranges which should come

for assistance and the Sub Inspectors of Coondapur taluk cannot hope to reach Moodabidri or Vencor ranges for co-operation without getting two days previous notice which is dangerous to give considering the tactics to be used in successful detection. The presence of intervening ferries and the absence of direct bus-connection will involve the absence of these Sub Inspectors from their ranges longer than it should be, if they are summoned, leaving alone the question of T.A. The Inspector therefore suggests that the Karkal taluk may be split up between Mangalore and Udipi circles by giving Moodabidri and Venoor ranges to Mangalore circle and retaining Karkal and Hebri ranges in Udipi circle. If this is done the Inspector Udipi circle is of opinion that there will be no necessity for an Asst. Inspector either in Mangalore or in Udipi circle. This is no new proposal. In fact the suggestion to split up the Karkal taluk between Mangalore and Udipi circle was made by me as Asst. Commissioner West Coast Sub Division in West Coast Asst. Commissioner's letter R.C. 161 dated 4-3-30 disposed of in Commissioner's Procgs. C.R.No.2600 Abk. dated 7-4-30 wherein the suggestion was not accepted. The Asst. Commissioner considers that the proposal to give Moodabidri and Venoor ranges to Mangalore circle will necessitate the restoration of the Asst. Inspector to that circle. He is also of opinion that although the addition of Karkal taluk to Udipi circle has made the circle extensive, the charge is not too heavy for a single officer to manage and that the circle can be run without an Asst. Inspector. In this connection I would invite the Commissioner's attention to the concluding paragraph of my inspection notes of Cannanore circle B.R.826/32 dated 3-11-32 reviewed in C.P.D.No.2245 Abk/32 dated 15-11-32. I made a suggestion therein to transfer the Asst. Inspector Udipi circle to Cannanore circle as the latter is a heavier charge Although the present Udipi circle is more extensive than Cannanore circle, the work to be done, in the latter circle is heavier than the former. Cannanore circle has 75 toddy shops more than Udipi and there are 18 F.L. licenses in Cannanore circle against 4 in Udipi circle. The number of trees tapped for sweet juice in Canna[redacted]e circle is 5 times that of Udipi circle. The number of ranges [redacted]anore circle is 11 against 10 in Udipi circle. The Assistant [redacted]ner considers that the fall* in detection in Cannanore

5

Cannanore circle is due to the abolition of the Assistant - Inspector's post and urges the transfer of the Asst. Inspector Udipi circle to - Cannanore circle. I therefore request that the Asst. Inspector Udipi circle may be transferred to Cannanore circle after the present cashew season is over. This proposal is made as the most satisfactory with the present establishment available. In the interests of - efficiency what I consider really ought to be done is to transfer the Moodabidri and Venoor ranges to the Mangalore - circle together with the Assistant Inspector from Udipi and to restore the Asst. Inspector of the Cannanore circle who was abolished as a retrenchment measure. As things stand, the - Inspector Udipi circle cannot manage the distant ranges of the Karkal taluk - Moodabidri and Venoor - efficiently and being areas where there is any amount of I.D., it is bound to get the upper hand in time. Mangalore has far greater facilities of approach to these 2 ranges and can control them efficiently, but this makes Mangalore too large a circle without an Asst. Inspector. Unless the Asst. Inspector Cannanore is restored, whatever we do is really only a make shift.

* Only 665 cases have been reported from the date of abolition of Asst.Inspector's post up to end of January 33 against 783 cases during the corresponding period of - previous.year.

2. A statement showing the area, number of - different kinds of shops and number of sweet juice trees tapped in Udipi and Cannanore circles is submitted. A statement - showing the detection in Udipi circle after transfer of the Asst. Inspector Mangalore to Udipi circle is also enclosed.

p6 Cr

p7 Cr

P. Raghavachar
1-4-33
For Deputy Commissioner.

MK/1-4.
1.4.33

Statement. 6

Circle.	Area	Number of different kinds of shops.						Number of trees issued for S.J.		
		A.S.	T.S.	G.S.	O.S.	Depot	F.L.	C.	P.	S.
Udipi	1603.65 Sq.mile	129	191	10	3	9	4	2819	2501	41
Cannanore	1074.8 Sq.miles	56	266	5	6	4	18	26636	-	-

D Raghavachari
1-2-33
For Deputy Commissioner.

MK/1-4.

No. II TO P-15.

Sep. Rev. B. (2)-130—918—2-7-32.

Circle / Division during the quarter ending 19^

XI Adulteration. (13)		XII Dilution. (14)		XIII Using false measures. (15)		XIV Illicit cultivation of the hemp plant. (16)		XV Smuggling of intoxicating drugs. (17)		XVI Illicit transport of intoxicating drugs. (18)		XVII Unlicensed sale of intoxicating drugs. (19)		XVIII Unlicensed possession of intoxicating drugs. (20)		XIX Other offences. Liquor. (21)		XIX Other offences. Ganja. (22)		Total. (23)		Remarks. (24)
C.	P.	C.	P.	C.	P.	C.	P.	C.	P.	C.	P.	C.	P.	C.	P.	C.	P.	C.	P.	C.	P.	
		5	5			2	2					1	1	1	1	9	11			202	208	+7 UHS+1 UDC
		4	4			1	1					1	1	1	1	5	5			166	171	+4 UHS.
		6	7			1	1	2	2			1	1	1	1	14	15			270	278	+4 UHS.
		5	7			2	2					1	1	1	1	7	8			262	269	+1 UHS +1 UDC
		1	2																	10	11	+1 UDC
		3	3																	16	17	+1 UHS
																1	1			26	26	+1 UDC
						1	1	1	1											27	29	+1 UHS
		1	2			1	1													23	25	-1 UHS
		2	2													3	4			51	53	+1 UHS
																				19	19	

parate lines against each circle in columns (2) to (22).
y sized in cases of possession, sale and transport is licit or illicit.

Magrava clara
1-4-33
For Deputy Commissioner
Inspector
Assistant Commissioner.

1-4-33 143

[Price. 3 *pies.*]

ENCLOSURE

Classified Statement of Offences against the Abkari Laws reported in the Kadapa

Circle.	Smuggling of liquor. I From foreign territory.		II From rented to excise tracts.		III From one tract to another where the duty varies and liquor is brought into the tract where the duty is higher.		IV Illicit distillation.		V Possession of illicitly distilled spirits.		VI Sale of illicitly distilled spirits.		Illicit Manufacture of toddy. VII-A Illicit tapping.		VII-B Illicit manufacture by other means.		VIII Illicit transport of liquor.		IX Unlicensed sales of liquor.		Possession of liquor with licence
(1)	(2)		(3)		(4)		(5)		(6)		(7)		(8)		(9)		(10)		(11)		(12)
	C.	P.	C.	P.	C.	P.	C.	P.	C.	P.	C.	P.	C.	P.	C.	P.	C.	P.	C.	P.	C.
Circle Figures																					
1-9-31 to 31-3-32							10	10	7	7			91	91			17	17	2	2	57
C.P.							18	21	6	6			62	66	2	3	2	3	8	9	51
1-4-32 to 15-12-32							23	24	17	17			101	102	15	17	21	21 ~~24~~	5	5	63
C.P.							28	31	13	13			113	114	7	8	12	12	5	5	62
Personal Detection Inspector																					
1.9.31 to 31.3.32									1	1			7	7							
C.P.							5	5	1	1			5	5	2	3					1
Assistant Inspector																					
1.9.31 to 31.3.32									1	1			5	5			2	2	1	1	16
C.P.															Nil						
1-4-32 to 15-12-32 Inspector							3	3	2	2			14	15	5	6					1
C.P.							4	4	3	3			7	7	6	7					1
Assistant Inspector																					
1-4-32 to 15-12-32							3	3	2	2			16	16	10	11	3	3	1	1	11
C.P.							2	2					5	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	9

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR / ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER'S Circle / Division'

Dated

Note.—(1) Detected and undetected cases should be shown in
(2) Particulars should be given as to whether th

C.C.

8

(1)

(1)(B)

The proposal relates to the redistribution of the circles in S. Kanara Dt. A report is due from the local officers on the working of the O.S. early in March 1933.

C.P No 1273/32 is with Corner - (put up on carbon appeal file). The papers may be placed before the C. if called for.

21.2.33. I am not sure that the present arrangement is satisfactory Udipi with an A.T. in Lt. at Mangalore with only a table & heavy drive to a good deal of T.D. However we will hear what the DC has to say when his report comes in

14.3.33.

Note continued

The DCs report on the working of the arrangement sanctioned in C.P.R No 1273 dated 6.6.31 is at pp 3-5 ante. In C's paras quoted above the Karkal taluk was transferred from the Mangalore to Udipi D. The T.D. of his

also transferred from the Mangalore to the Udipi O.

It is now reported that the transfer of the Karkal taluk to Udipi O has made the Canara unwieldy. Crime in Mudabidri & Venur ranges of Karkal taluk is at present properly handled by the S.P. at Udipi on account of the long distance. These ranges are nearer to Mangalore & can be conveniently managed by the officers of Mangalore O. The S.P. Udipi & Mangalore suggests that the Karkal taluk may be divided between the Mangalore & Udipi SS. This proposal has already been considered & dropped as not possible on account of the inconvenience it would cause in accounts etc please see notes on file 25-26 C.

The DC recommends that the Venur & Mudabidri ranges of Karkal taluk may be transferred to the Mangalore O. as also the A.D. & L.

As pointed out above it will not be desirable to have a part of a taluk in one O & another

post in another O. The only possible course in such circumstances will be to ~~[illegible]~~ refer the complainant to join Kankal Taluk into a Sub O attached either to Udipi or Mangalore O. The additional cost on account of this will be Rs. 9.T.# & two clerks. Post I.D. will have to be sanctioned to the Sub O.

There are 4 ranges in the Kankal Taluk

Kankal is 20 miles from Mangalore and 24 miles from Udipi. If Kankal is made a sub circle it seems better to have it attached to Udipi.

11.11.33.

The matter of formation of Kankal Taluk as a sub circle of Udipi may be put to the D.C. for remarks.

11.11.33.

In this connection the DC points out that the work in Coimbatore O is heavy and recommends that the A.D. of the O. abolished as a measure of retrenchment may be restored. Coimbatore has 11 ranges.

RG 7.4.33

Nilgiris has 12 and Cochin ... If Coimbatore is to be ... Nilgiris and Coch-

TRR 9.4.33

I agree with the DCO remark at p. 6 that whatever we do is really only a makeshift unless the A.1. Command is restored.

I agree with former (5) that it is highly inadvisable to split a label between two Circles the inconvenience of such an arrangement must be obvious. Mangalore + Karwar and Hubli is too heavy for One D. even when were an A1 so that arrangement would work. Mudabidri & Venoor hotbeds of 1 D other crime might be neglected more they would be wholly neglected by the I Corps if the A.1. was taken away to Canara or elsewhere. I might as well ask for the moon as for what the D.C. really wants an additional A1 a Command. & I don't propose to ask neither which the present system has as kind demands as any other arrangement & had better be retained.

Thope you will see that between himself & the A.1 he has Karkal humoresque range & adequate protection.

n.433

Draft submitted below

RG
LCG TLH
11/4

O.F. 16—23,81,136—17.9.31.

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

2

Enclosures.

Despatch No.

When given to fair copying

Fair-copied by

Fair-copy compared by

When despatched

Office.

Register No. 2426 a/37, dated

From

Subject.

Cereales- Mangalore & Udipi- redistribution working & the arrangement orders

To

THE

RC. 117/33 D 14.3.33 from the D.C.S.D

Procs

The ~~=~~ carriers ~~rate~~ it is highly undesirable to start a talk between two centres. Mangalore & Karkal as it used to be is too heavy for one D. even if on all in sanctioned ~~when given on~~ A.D. So that arrangement will not work. Mudabidri & Venoor ranges headed & I.D & other crime ~~are~~ may be neglected ~~now~~ they would be wholly neglected by the D. Udipi if ~~the~~ A.D were taken away to Karwar or elsewhere. ~~Was~~ this ~~the~~ ~~[illegible]~~ to

Some comments as ~~any~~ the Corridon that it
arrangement ~~that~~ had better
be retained The D. Adjt. O
should see that between
himself & the A.D. the two
Kaskat troublesome ranges in the Kachal tahsil
get ~~adequate~~ adequate attention
The ~~Do. proposal~~ Commissioner does not
propose to consult the question of Sanctioning
~~for an additional A.D. to~~
until
the Commander ~~does not~~
Con ~~by to the C~~
Ins. 11.4.43.

KK
11/4 33

TRD
11/4

To the D.C.S.D